



British Office for Ukraine

Serial Number: 2022/029

© Crown copyright 2022

Report on the threat of the true actors of Russian origin in Ukraine

Notified by: Committee on Investigation Cooperation @ BOFU

Authorised by: Ann Sharp, Permanent Under-Secretary @ BOFU

Chairman of Committee: Martin Hewitt QPM (National Police Chiefs' Council)

Contact: committee_ic@bofu.uk

This report has a total of 19 pages, and the enclosed 168 exhibits are in total inclusive 4611 pages and items.

Background:

This report produced by the committee is a targeted investigation and evidence gathering exercise to enable the relevant authorities, courts and law enforcement agencies to act upon the gathered evidence to bring dangerous actors that are active to justice.

The investigation was triggered based on the information provided to the Committee by the Committee on Strategic Resources, the Committee on British Legal Oversight, the Athens Council, the International Commission of Jurists, British Ukraine, the Human Rights Council, various individuals and other authorities and organisations in Ukraine and abroad.

This report focuses on several individuals and their numerous accomplices and officials (a pro-Russian group) which have ties to, have violated the law and have abused their positions and power, and act on behalf of the ousted from office 4th president of Ukraine, Viktor Yanukovich, and Vitaliy Zakharchenko, a known corrupted and convicted official, but since both live in exile in Russia, the report does not cover them as they have currently no substantial or direct influence to the governance of Ukraine.

Serhiy Arbuzov who was prime minister of Ukraine and before that the head of the National Bank of Ukraine as well as Oleksandr Klymenko who was minister for revenue and taxes, both in the time of Viktor Yanukovich, are related to this group as well and have fled to Russia.

This report also does not separately go into details about Valery Bredikhin who is an individual that was convicted (see exhibit 1 for his indictment) and is in hiding in the separatist and Russian occupied territories of Ukraine having the status of a terrorist (alongside the same status as Yanukovich and Zakharchenko).

This individual has a direct link to Vitaliy Zakharchenko and this was well covered in the Ukrainian media, and specifically, among other directorships, fraudulent schemes and activities including in the illegally annexed Crimea and the separatist and Russian occupied territories of Ukraine, he was the person listed as owner in the Carpathian Mining Company (on behalf of the beneficial owners - Mykola Martynenko, Dmitry Kashchuk and Vitaliy Zakharchenko) of which the director was and is Nikolay Gozhik, one of the individuals covered herein.

The Carpathian Mining Company was bought by Brian Savage with the help of Andriy Portnov in the separatist and Russian occupied territories of Ukraine, and these are the other two significant individuals connecting all the pro-Russian group individuals together.

Vitaliy Zakharchenko, Valery Bredikhin, Brian Savage and individuals of this group cooperate together to this day, and have over the last couple decades, including recently registered multiple firms connecting them, for instance OOO "DARY KRYMA" in the Republic of Crimea - registered in 2020 by Vitaliy Zakharchenko and convicted terrorist Alexey Gromchakov listed as general director. There are over ten such firms.

Alexey Gromchakov, Mykola Martynenko, Nikolay Gozhik, Andriy Portnov, Dmitry Kashchuk and Brian Savage are all involved in theft of state property and trying to illegally monopolise the gold mining industry in Ukraine within a plot by Russian aligned group of interests attempting to seize the only gold producing strategic resource in Ukraine that is in fact owned by British interests, hurting the national interests of Ukraine and the United Kingdom, with such case being described within this report as the "Gold related case".

The below individuals have proven connections to Russia, are corrupt and currently defending the interests of officials from the time of exiled president Yanukovych, and present a threat to the public in multiple ways, and damage the national interest of Ukraine and the United Kingdom, and as such this is outlined below.

Further to this we would like to note that the group of individuals outlined in this report are working in concert and constantly engage in human rights violations and politically motivated and far-fetched persecution of individuals in good standing, like Oleksandr Tupytskyi, chairman of the constitutional court of Ukraine, or like the former president Petro Poroshenko, or like Oleksandr Mashtepa, the president of British Ukraine.

The below mentioned information is enough to convict but is not being utilised due to corruption.

Exhibit 149 contains a list of witnesses and contact details that are ready to testify. The document also contains further information on relevant events and criminal cases.

A list and evidence of items with details stolen by pro-Russian group with photos included is enclosed with this report in exhibits 155 to 159 (total of 464 pages in exhibits).

The active Russian-based individuals:

Such individuals are Brian Savage, Andriy Portnov and Alexey Gromchakov (who replaced Gorokhovskiy A.V. from the team of arbitration managers loyal to Victor Tatkov – now in hiding in Austria).

See exhibits reference the crimes of Alexey Gromchakov and Gorokhovskiy A.V.

Andriy Portnov:

Andriy Portnov is a known traitor that is well connected to Russia, who in 2014 fled the country to Russia jointly with Viktor Yanukovych and Vitaliy Zakharchenko, after FMS of Russia granted him a temporary residence permit for a period of 3 years in May of 2014.

It is also noteworthy that in 2014 the European Council and Canada sanctioned Andriy Portnov as a high-ranking government official as part of a list of 17 former government officials and people close to former President Yanukovych on suspicion of misappropriation of budget funds. At some point those sanction were dropped, but recently, in December of 2021, the US Treasury Department sectioned Andriy Portnov in the context of corruption in Ukraine with quote:

"Andriy Portnov as the former Deputy Head of the Ukrainian Presidential Administration under former President Yanukovych, has cultivated extensive connections to Ukraine's judicial and law enforcement apparatus through bribery. Widely known as a court fixer, Portnov was credibly accused of using his influence to buy access and decisions in Ukraine's courts and undermining reform efforts. As of 2019, Portnov took steps to control the Ukrainian judiciary, influence associated legislation, sought to place loyal officials in senior judiciary positions, and purchase court decisions. In mid-2019, Portnov colluded with a high-ranking Ukrainian

government official to shape the country's higher legal institutions to their advantage and influence Ukraine's Constitutional Court. Additionally, Portnov has been involved in an attempt to influence the Ukrainian Prosecutor General."

Such sanctions were again imposed since Andriy Portnov continued his illegal activities in the interests of Russia after returning to Ukraine in 2019.

For instance, exhibit 16, shows a copy of a text message sent by Mykola Martynenko to Andriy Portnov and Oleg Tatarov (see later in this report reference corruption part of pro-Russian group) to continue illegal activities in the gold case and to continue the pressure applied to individuals like Oleksandr Mashtepa.

Another example, Portnov by 2019 had made 4 official requests to the State Bureau of Investigation (DBR) in Ukraine against Petro Poroshenko in connection to the corruption scandal in Ukraine of September 2005.

Brian Savage:

Brian Savage is related to many Russian based companies, for instance the Amur Minerals Corp.

When Brian Savage bought from Valery Bredikhin the Carpathian Mining Company in 2016, Valery Bredikhin was already a convicted person with an issued search warrant in his name, and therefore to make the deal look legitimate (although it isn't), the deal took place in the separatist and Russian occupied territories of Ukraine, where Valery Bredikhin is hiding, making this a terrorism related activity and purchase.

Whilst Brian Savage appears to be the nominee owner of Avellana Gold (which acquired the Carpathian Mining Company) the real UBOs' are Vitaliy Zakharchenko who started the company, Mykola Martynenko who financed a large part of the scheme, and Dmitry Kashchuk who organised a significant part of the corruption.

Brian Savage is mostly a media figure and has made false statements to the media (exhibit 121) both that are libelous and that depict an illegitimate picture of events in the interests of the pro-Russian group.

There is evidence collected by local law enforcement that in Zakarpattia Oblast that Brian Savage organised a narcotics related operation on stolen state and private property that is not being acted upon due to corruption.

Furthermore, Brian Savage has committed the crime of torching two vehicles belonging to Pavel Biletsky in an attempt to harass the journalist and stop him from writing a story on the pro-Russian group activities. Pavel Biletsky is the director of the ZIDO news agency and can be reached on +380669294686.

Exhibits 154 and 163 shows evidence of Carpathian Mining Company illegally built factory.

The National Anti-Corruption Bureau of Ukraine has a record of all crimes not currently being prosecuted.

Government corruption in the interests of Russia:

The high-ranking individuals in the government of Ukraine involved in abuse of power and corruption in the interests of Russia are Andriy Yermak and his deputy Oleg Tatarov, Oleksii Perevezentsev, Dmitry Kashchuk as well as Mykola Martynenko.

Exhibit 122 is the witness statement of Dmytro Zaitsev based in Kiev, the lawyer representing the British investors who can be reached at +380 50 428 2473.

Mykola Martynenko:

The Prosecutor General in 2014 opened the criminal case number 1201407000000103 (active to date) in reference to theft and destruction of state and private property (charges filed under the grade of extra-large thefts) by the pro-Russian group, and this case was initiated by the British investors who suffered losses due to the fraudulent scheme organised by Mykola Martynenko in concert with Vitaliy Zakharchenko and Andriy Portnov and the other individuals and officials as listed herein.

Exhibits 52 to 58 (1513 pages in total) show the case that involves Valery Bredikhin and Alexey Gromchakov (both in hiding and convicted) as well as Nikolay Gozhik, a key individual in Zakarpattia Oblast (see below gold case) that knows everyone and is well connected in the gold mining industry of Ukraine.

Exhibit 59 is the 30-page letter with detail descriptions sent by British investors to initiate the criminal case.

In the court ruling dated September 14, 2018, by the Pechersky District Court of Ukraine, is noted that as a result of searches at the Carpathian Mining Company (at that time directed by Nikolay Gozhik, an individual listed herein), the authorities confiscated a document prepared by Gozhik and Martynenko titled "activities to seize the property of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC on 5 sheets".

In 2016, after Brian Savage acquired the Carpathian Mining Company, a separate criminal case and criminal proceedings were initiated numbered 4201600000003656 about how the pro-Russian group lowered the value of stolen property and assets and how the proceeds of funds were money laundered with the help of the illegally replaced liquidator Alexey Gromchakov (all this organised by Nikolay Gozhik and his son Vladimir Gozhik) who was later convicted and sentenced as a terrorist (see exhibit 6).

Specifically at auction the group reduced the value of stolen property to 11 million hryvnias (1.3 million USD at that time) although in reality the market value of the stolen property and assets was at least 6.6 million USD, thereby causing damage to the tune of more than 5.5 million USD. This is a fraction of the damages.

Exhibits 40 and 41 (330 pages in total) show this money laundering and abuse of power case that also involves arrest warrants (national and international) for all individuals connected to the Carpathian Mining Company (as listed herein) which such warrants are mostly suspended from execution due to corruption.

The investigation of authorities showed that during the period 2016-2019, the pro-Russian group carried out thefts via exporting abroad ore containing polymetals from dumps and lying tailings of deposits (without legally returning to the state the processed gold and other metals), following the facts that the Carpathian Mining Company possesses the unlawful special permit for the extraction of minerals for the purpose of illegal enrichment during the period 2012-2014 (aided by Vitaliy Zakharchenko before he fled), so reached by prior agreement with a number of individual entrepreneurs, including with Mykola Martynenko.

Vitaliy Zakharchenko and Mykola Martynenko are the main financiers of the pro-Russian group, and often give bribes and conduct other illegal money related crimes.

On January 31, 2022, the Security Service of Ukraine provided a copy of a text message (exhibit 16) sent by Mykola Martynenko to Andriy Portnov and Oleg Tatarov that shows a bribe and demands for abuse of power.

Actively influenced the implementation of fraud schemes of the Carpathian Mining Company starting from 2015 and bribed officials in the Transcarpathian Regional Administration for the conclusion of illegal land lease agreements on stolen state land with the Carpathian Mining Company.

Mykola Martynenko is known for destroying the nuclear industry in Ukraine and having money laundered illegally obtained funds from this, for which he was convicted in Switzerland. Furthermore, having served on the energy commission there is a trail of stolen funds of considerable size.

Andriy Yermak:

Andriy Yermak is a person loyal to Mykola Martynenko and Andriy Portnov.

Coordinates the implementation of the fraud schemes in Ministries and local authorities, including the Ministry of Economy, the State Subsoil Service, and the Transcarpathian Regional State Administration.

Exhibit 2 is a court decision that indicates that the search organised by Andriy Yermak, Oleg Tatarov and Mykola Martynenko in 2021 to pressure and harass Oleksandr Mashtepa (President of British Ukraine and representative of British investors) was illegal and unlawful and ordered the return of all confiscated property.

The prosecutors under direct direction of Andriy Yermak refused to return the confiscated property. Instead, completely in violation of all judicial ethics and legislation, with the help of Mykola Martynenko they ordered

another judge, Novak R.V. (courtroom 336, Pechersk district Court of Kyiv) to review the same case (reviewed a week ago by another judge of the same courts, effectively concealing from the courts the fact that the seizure had already been declared illegal) number 1201310060002990 originated by the State Bureau of Investigation and instigated by Dmitry Egorov (a subordinate of Roman Krupka – see below) to illegally ensure the seizure is deemed legal.

The declarant on the criminal case 1201310060002990 is a convicted individual with the surname Dreger, who is both a claimant against Oleksandr Tupytskyi, the chairman of the constitutional court of Ukraine, and Oleksandr Mashtepa, the president of British Ukraine, clearly illustrating political persecution.

The criminal case 1201310060002990 is based on fake information, facts twisted to attempt to insert Oleksandr Mashtepa and Dmytro Zaitsev (lawyer representing British investors) into the crooked scheme and crimes committed by the pro-Russian group itself, clearly with the aim of exerting pressure.

During the 2020 and ongoing constitutional crisis of Ukraine, Andriy Yermak organised a lot of fake reform and is one of the people responsible for harassment and violation of human rights of judges.

Read exhibit 51 for the final briefing on the constitutional crisis of Ukraine.

For instance, exhibit 42, shows the health problems his activities created for Judge Oleksandr Tupytskyi.

With the help of Andriy Portnov organised an unsuccessful coup within the Constitutional Court of Ukraine, see exhibits 45 and 46 with the intent to harass by way of violating human and judge rights, whereas in 2022 the court rallied in support of Oleksandr Tupytskyi (see exhibit 48).

See exhibit 118 for the acceptance letter for the application to the European Court of Human Rights that Oleksandr Tupytskyi filed in June 2021, against the relevant parties within the government of Ukraine.

Andriy Yermak is a close friend of both Karl Kontrus, well covered by the media and both of whom have substantial business interests in Russia. Furthermore Andriy Yermak was the leading figure in terms of nominating Yuriy Vitrenko as the head of the national oil and gas company of Ukraine – Naftogaz, a man who is well known to have views and interest aligned with Russia.

Oleg Tatarov:

Oleg Tatarov was initially an adviser and then deputy to Zakharchenko, having oversight over investigation and prosecution authorities. His influence over law enforcement, is a well-known fact documented by the media in Ukraine, as well as his abuse of power - coordinates the implementation of the fraud schemes in law enforcement agencies, incl. Ministry of Internal Affairs, National Police, Prosecutor's Office, etc.

Specifically, before Vitaliy Zakharchenko fled, in the gold case, in 2012, Tatarov created a fake criminal case to remove the legitimate general director of CJSC GAK Ukrainian Polymetals, Mikhail Zhelyazo, ultimately leading to replacing him with Andrey Kravchuk, who thereafter using fraudulent and unlawful means won a court case in the Supreme Court of Ukraine hurting both the state and British investors, and it is after appointment of Andrey Kravchuk that all the issues with CJSC GAK Ukrainian Polymetals started.

During the same time, did the same thing to Tatiana Petik replacing her with Alexey Gromchakov – that same individual who was later convicted alongside Valery Bredikhin (exhibit 1) for theft and destruction of state and private property from Zakarpatpolimetal LLC (subsidiary to CJSC GAK Ukrainian Polymetals).

Tatiana Petik was the legitimate liquidator of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC after the pro-Russian group bankrupted Zakarpatpolimetal LLC, and it was Tatiana Petik who in 2011 won the court case to return all the legally owned assets and property to Zakarpatpolimetal LLC that the pro-Russian group attempted to steal.

This clearly illustrates how Oleg Tatarov abused his power to remove Mikhail Zhelyazo and Tatiana Petik who were upholding the law and preventing the pro-Russian group to execute their crimes.

Mikhail Zhelyazo can be reached at +380 98 5572593 for a witness statement.

During the 2020 and ongoing constitutional crisis of Ukraine, Oleg Tatarov and is the main individual responsible for harassment and violation of human rights of judges.

The Carpathian Mining Company fraud scheme diagrams that Oleg Tatarov help setup is in exhibit 124.

Dmitry Kashchuk:

Former chairman of the State Geological Department of Ukraine until 2015.

The organiser of the corruption scheme on behalf of the pro-Russian group, a criminal group of people who clearly understand their illegal roles and understand the illegality of actions, including officials and judges.

Exhibit 150 (7 pages) explains the events and relationships as well as the criminal actions in details and exhibit 151 (13 pages) is a relevant letter with photos and diagram.

Oleksii Perevezentsev:

As of 2021 State Secretary of Ministry of Youth and Sports of Ukraine, acted as a member of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine including as representative of the President.

State Secretary of the Ministry of Economy of Ukraine from 2016 to 2019.

After election of Volodymyr Zelensky to president, actively defended the interests of the Carpathian Mining Company, coordinated assistance from the Ministry of Economy to the activities of the Carpathian Mining Company, as well as assistance in the related illegal activities via CJSC GAK Ukrainian Polymetals which he essentially controlled.

He wrote various libelous statements from the Ministry of Economy about Gofer Mining plc and was the individual who instructed Andrey Kravchuk.

The pro-Russian gold case related individuals:

The organiser and key individual in the gold case is Nikolay Gozhik, and he has also involved his son Vladimir Gozhik who has undertaken illegal actions as well.

The other individuals involved are Andrey Kravchuk, Ivan Gusar and Andrii Smolin.

See exhibits reference the crimes of Ivan Gusar during his time as liquidator.

The exhibit 123 (39 pages) is detailed description of the gold case and theft of state property.

Ivan Gusar:

Liquidator of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC from 2016 to 2019 and partially for about 4 months in 2020.

Appointed as a liquidator on the recommendation of Andrey Kravchuk.

Actively contributed to the illegal activities of the Carpathian Mining Company, deliberately prevented the actions of returning state and private property to their rightful owners and made a substantial contribution to aid the pro-Russian group in stealing state land and deposit from Zakarpatpolimetal LLC by concluding an illegal agreement to terminate the land lease agreement.

Nikolay Gozhik:

Nikolay Gozhik was and is a director in the Carpathian Mining Company, and also appears on the board of the Cypriot Avellana Gold, alongside Brian Savage and Andrii Smolin.

Former director of Saulyak LLC which also played a significant role in crimes committed.

He actively participated in the raider seizure of state and private property in 2008, as well as in the seizure of property in 2014, in fact, he organized schemes for hiding property, as well as stealing property in 2014-2015.

Over 2008-2009 he stole all the geological documentation of Zakarpattopolimetal LLC which is now used by the Carpathian Mining Company.

In the indictment of Valery Bredikhin and Alexey Gromchakov (exhibit 1) Nikolay Gozhik and his son Vladimir Gozhik should have been also indicted, but wasn't due to corruption, however the Prosecutor General's files contain enough materials to indict, the case was simply left open (materials not passed to court for conviction and sentencing). Specifically, the materials contain information on how the father and son, ensured and curated all the actions on corrupt relations with the authorities in Zakarpattia Oblast, including cooperation with the chairman of the village council Vasily Fitsay (who illegally acquired a Hungarian passport for a different name - see exhibit 14 for passport copy) during the seizure in 2008, cooperation with Vitaliy Zakharchenko during 2012-2014, and after 2015 as director in Avellana Gold, even before it illegally acquired the Carpathian Mining Company in the separatist and Russian occupied territories of Ukraine.

Nikolay Gozhik also served as a director in Saulyak LLC where he made multiple false claims in the courts including multiple times claiming ownership over state and private assets belonging to Zakarpattopolimetal LLC and/or CJSC GAK Ukrainian Polymetals.

It was Nikolay Gozhik who carried out and organized in 2013 the survey of tailings and dumps in the amount of about 450 thousand tons of gold bearing ore in order to organize their transfer to the Carpathian Mining Company, and such activities were financed by Mykola Martynenko.

In 2015, irrespective of, and ignoring the arrest of assets and property by the Prosecutor General's Office, all the assets and property of Zakarpattopolimetal LLC (as well as other state and private property) was removed, stolen or destroyed, including various equipment, diesel locomotives, rails, cranes, trolleys, skips, entire processing plant, as well as buildings were destroyed, under leadership of the Gozhik(s) and Vasily Fitsay.

Nikolay Gozhik cooperated with Alexey Gromchakov to illegally write off from the balance sheet of Zakarpattopolimetal LLC, capital investments in mine workings, underground structures (in mines), which in fact were not evaluated and were not sold in 2014, but which now unlawfully appear on the balance sheet of the Carpathian Mining Company and Avellana Gold. Also, they wrote off, and did not include in the lawful inventory, and subsequently removed, expensive equipment and devices supplied under a leasing agreement in 2000 to Zakarpattopolimetal LLC, worth about 2 million USD at that time.

Vladimir Gozhik is the former director of Beregovopolimetal LLC as well as the director of several companies in the ownership structure of the Carpathian Mining Company before its re-registration to Avellana Gold.

He actively participated in the raider seizure of the property in 2008 and 2014-2015 and committed crimes.

Andrey Kravchuk:

Andrey Kravchuk assumed the role (deputy director 2015 to 2019 and general director since 2020) at state company CJSC GAK Ukrainian Polymetals after Oleg Tatarov (enlisting help of judge Oleg Matushchak) ensured that Mikhail Zhelyazo was removed, and after Voronin was moved off from the board the company.

During his time at the company Andrey Kravchuk did everything in his power to ensure state and private property is not returned to its rightful owners.

Actively defends the interests of the Carpathian Mining Company in clear collusion with the people controlling it and with a clear detriment to state and private interests, abusing his position.

Andrii Smolin:

Director or representative of Avellana Gold in Ukraine.

Made many fake statements to the media attempting to discredit British investors and supported the other individuals of the pro-Russian group, making the individual complicit. Exhibits 3 and 4 show fake photos of launched operations in Ukraine, attempting to mislead the public and authorities.

Andrii Smolin has made fake claims to the media and authorities that he represents the US embassy, see exhibit 61.

Previously was an adviser to Dmitry Kashchuk and Nikolai Boyarkin.

The main court proceedings reference the gold case:

Exhibit 62, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision June 9, 2008, case 16/89 on bankruptcy proceedings of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC on request of Pension Fund of Ukraine.

Exhibit 63, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision July 8, 2008, case 16/89 on bankruptcy proceedings of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC on request of Pension Fund of Ukraine.

Exhibit 64, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision August 19, 2008, on recognition of main claims of British investors as creditors, procedure for disposing of the debtor's property was introduced and appointment of administrator as arbitration manager Vladimir Gurtovoy.

Exhibit 65, Lviv Court of Appeal, decision November 3, 2008, left decision of exhibit 64 unchanged.

Exhibit 66, Superior Commercial Court of Ukraine, decision December 16, 2008, left decisions of exhibits 64 and 65 unchanged.

Exhibit 67, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision March 18, 2009, the register of creditors' claims in the bankruptcy case 16/89 was approved.

Exhibit 68, Lviv Court of Appeal, decision June 11, 2009, left decision of exhibit 67 unchanged.

Exhibit 69, Superior Commercial Court of Ukraine, decision September 22, 2009, left decisions of exhibits 67 and 68 unchanged.

Exhibit 70, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision February 16, 2010, the petition of the committee of creditors in the bankruptcy case was granted, Zakarpatpolimetal LLC was declared bankrupt, the liquidation procedure was opened and appointment of liquidator as arbitration manager Tatiana Petik.

Exhibit 71, Lviv Court of Appeal, decision August 5, 2010, left decision of exhibit 70 unchanged.

Exhibit 72, Superior Commercial Court of Ukraine, decision October 19, 2010, left decisions of exhibits 70 and 71 unchanged.

Exhibit 73, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision February 16, 2011, at the request of the committee of creditors, the term of the liquidation procedure and the powers of the liquidator were extended for six months.

Exhibit 74, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision September 19, 2011, at the request of the committee of creditors, the term of the liquidation procedure and the powers of the liquidator were extended for six months.

Exhibit 75, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision February 17, 2012, at the request of the committee of creditors, the term of the liquidation procedure and the powers of the liquidator were extended for one month.

Exhibit 76, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision March 20, 2012, consideration of the case was adjourned to May 22, 2012. The issue of extending the liquidation procedure was not resolved.

Exhibit 77, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision May 16, 2012, the work of the liquidator of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC was recognized as unsatisfactory and the powers of the liquidator Tatiana Petik were terminated, replaced with the appointment of a new liquidator as the arbitration manager A.V. Gorokhovskiy

Exhibit 78, Lviv Court of Appeal, decision June 25, 2012, left decision of exhibit 77 unchanged.

Exhibit 79, Superior Commercial Court of Ukraine, decision September 11, 2012, left decisions of exhibits 77 and 78 unchanged.

Exhibit 80, Superior Commercial Court of Ukraine, decision December 18, 2012, denied application by Cengart Financial Inc. on the admission of case 16/89 to the proceedings of the Supreme Court of Ukraine.

Exhibit 81, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision October 30, 2012, refused to satisfy the petition of the committee of creditors in the bankruptcy case 16/89 of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC for the appointment of the legitimate liquidator Tatiana Petik.

Exhibit 82, Lviv Court of Appeal, decision February 4, 2013, left decision of exhibit 81 unchanged.

Exhibit 83, Superior Commercial Court of Ukraine, decision May 21, 2013, left decisions of exhibits 81 and 82 unchanged.

Exhibit 84, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision March 20, 2012, satisfied the application of A.V. Gorokhovskiy on the termination of his powers, the application of the creditors' committee for the appointment of liquidator Tatiana Petik was denied, and approved application for the appointment of Alexey Gromchakov.

Exhibit 85, Lviv Court of Appeal, decision June 12, 2013, left decision of exhibit 84 unchanged.

Exhibit 86, Superior Commercial Court of Ukraine, decision July 30, 2013, left decisions of exhibits 84 and 85 unchanged.

Exhibit 87, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision February 4, 2014, refused creditors' committee complaints against Alexey Gromchakov, following adjournment since November 2013.

Exhibit 88, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision February 4, 2014, refused creditors' committee second set of complaints against Alexey Gromchakov.

Exhibit 89, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision July 4, 2014, partly satisfy creditors' committee third set of complaints against Alexey Gromchakov related to illegitimate auction of stolen property & assets.

Exhibit 90, Lviv Court of Appeal, decision December 24, 2014, reference appointment of expertise. This decision was appealed to the Superior Commercial Court of Ukraine but was not satisfied.

Exhibit 91, Lviv Court of Appeal, decision September 16, 2015, left decision of exhibit 89 unchanged.

Exhibit 92, Superior Commercial Court of Ukraine, decision November 11, 2015, left decisions of exhibits 89 and 91 unchanged.

Exhibit 93, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision June 9, 2015, concerning the case 16/89 on bankruptcy proceedings of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC, after several petitions on behalf of the committee of creditors, Alexey Gromchakov was removed from office and Tatiana Petik reinstated as liquidator.

Exhibit 94, Lviv Court of Appeal, decision July 16, 2015, left decision of exhibit 93 unchanged.

Exhibit 95, Superior Commercial Court of Ukraine, decision November 11, 2015, left decisions of exhibits 93 and 94 unchanged.

Exhibit 96, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision December 18, 2015, concerning the case 16/89 on bankruptcy proceedings of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC, at the request and petition of the committee of creditors, Inna Petchenko, an arbitration manager, was appointed as liquidator. The court refused to appoint Ivan Gusar as liquidator following proposal by CJSC GAK Ukrainian Polymetals.

Exhibit 97, Lviv Court of Appeal, decision February 3, 2016, revoked decision of exhibit 96 and appointed Ivan Gusar as liquidator in case 16/89.

Exhibit 98, Superior Commercial Court of Ukraine, decision June 1, 2016, left decision of exhibit 97 unchanged.

Exhibit 99, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision March 3, 2017, concerning the case 16/89 on bankruptcy proceedings of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC, at the request of Ivan Gusar on behalf of the Carpathian Mining Company, satisfied the exclusion of British investors from the register of creditors' claims.

Exhibit 100, Lviv Court of Appeal, decision May 24, 2017, revoked decision of exhibit 99.

Exhibit 101, Supreme Court (Commercial Court of Cassation), decision April 12, 2018, stopped decision of exhibit 100 unchanged.

Exhibit 102, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision March 3, 2017, refused creditors' committee complaints against Ivan Gusar.

Exhibit 103, Lviv Court of Appeal, decision May 24, 2017, revoked decision of exhibit 102 and recognition by the court of the fact of violation by Ivan Gusar of the requirements of bankruptcy legislation.

Exhibit 104, Supreme Court (Commercial Court of Cassation), decision April 12, 2018, left decision of exhibit 103 unchanged.

Exhibit 105, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision October 9, 2018, concerning the case 16/89 on bankruptcy proceedings of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC, Ivan Gusar was removed from office.

Exhibit 106, Western Court of Appeal, decision January 9, 2019, revoked decision of exhibit 105 and reinstated Ivan Gusar as liquidator.

Exhibit 107, Supreme Court (Commercial Court of Cassation), decision April 16, 2019, revoked decision of exhibit 106, stopped the validity of decision of exhibit 105 and added notes that Ivan Gusar shall continue in office as liquidator until appointment of new liquidator.

Exhibit 108, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision April 16, 2019, concerning the case 16/89 on bankruptcy proceedings of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC, at the request and petition of the committee of creditors, sanation procedure was introduced and sanation manager appointed, arbitration manager, Anna Kandaurova.

Exhibit 109, Western Court of Appeal, decision July 1, 2019, closed appeal proceedings on the complaint of Ivan Gusar concerning the introduction of the sanation procedure.

Exhibit 110, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision June 14, 2019, concerning the case 16/89 on bankruptcy proceedings of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC, the sanation plan was approved and Gofer Mining plc acknowledged as an investor in the bankruptcy proceedings.

Exhibit 111, Western Court of Appeal, decision September 20, 2019, accepted appeal by the Carpathian Mining Company concerning the application of sanation procedure.

Exhibit 112, Western Court of Appeal, decision October 24, 2019, appeal of the Carpathian Mining Company joined by CJSC GAK Ukrainian Polymetals concerning the application of sanation procedure.

Exhibit 113, Western Court of Appeal, decision January 9, 2020, revoked the decision of exhibit 108.

Exhibit 114, Supreme Court (Commercial Court of Cassation), decision February 27, 2020, left decision of exhibit 113 unchanged with notes that said proceedings were illegitimate as the petition of the Carpathian Mining Company could have not been reviewed in an appeal court.

Exhibit 115, Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast, decision July 23, 2020, concerning the case 16/89 on bankruptcy proceedings of Zakarpatpolimetal LLC, within the existing liquidation procedure, at the request of the committee of creditors, arbitration manager, Anna Kandaurova was appointed as the liquidator.

Exhibit 116, Western Court of Appeal, decision November 19, 2020, left decision of exhibit 115 unchanged.

Further information on these court proceedings can be found in exhibit 47.

Exhibit 49 lists detailed information reference the court proceedings (exhibits 125 to 143) that concern the theft of assets and property, including the illegitimate appropriation of ore raw materials and tailings. As of now within the criminal proceedings there are two arrests for property and tailings that remain in force.

The British investors sent a comprehensive letter to Volodymyr Zelensky, President of Ukraine in 2022 to update him of this prolonged situation of the gold case, see exhibit 50.

Other officials caught in abuse of power in the interests of Russia:

The other individuals in the government of Ukraine involved in abuse of power and corruption in the interests of Russia are the ex-Governor of Zakarpattia Oblast Hennadiy Moskal, the prosecutors Govda Roman and Sergey Novikov, and the deputy head investigator Roman Krupka, as well as the following judges (people related to Andriy Portnov);

- 1) Victor Tatkov of the Supreme Economic Court of Ukraine
- 2) Tatkovs' deputy Arthur Emelyanov at the Supreme Economic Court of Ukraine
- 3) Valentyna Danishevskaya of the Supreme Court of Ukraine
- 4) Oleg Matushchak of the Western Court of Appeal of Ukraine

and Oksana Remetsky of the Commercial Court of Zakarpattia Oblast – issued all the above mentioned illegal court decision from 2008 till present.

Supreme Economic Court of Ukraine:

In their theft, destruction and illegal resale of state and private property, the pro-Russian group was assisted by corrupt judges Victor Tatkov and his deputy Arthur Emelyanov at the Supreme Economic Court of Ukraine. Victor Tatkov is in hiding from the Ukrainian authorities in Austria, and is a separate matter to be dealt with by local and European law enforcement.

Victor Tatkov bought his asylum in Austria by bribing officials. Arthur Emelyanov was previously head of the Kiev Court of Appeal where he is connected to numerous questionable decisions.

Supreme Court of Ukraine:

Valentyna Danishevskaya was chairwoman of the Supreme Court of Ukraine until November 2021.

Valentyna Danishevskaya acting on behalf of the pro-Russian group destroyed illegitimately the sanation process of Gofer Mining plc, and claimed to do so on behalf of the US government, whereas this was then disproved (exhibit 152) and relevant witness statements collected.

Western Court of Appeal of Ukraine:

Previously the Lviv Court of Appeal.

Oleg Matushchak aided in the illegitimate destroying of the sanation procedure of Gofer Mining plc which thereafter was passed to the Supreme Court of Ukraine.

In the autumn of 2019, with numerous procedural violations conducted court proceedings and issued several decisions violating the laws and accepted applications that under normal circumstances a court would not.

Specifically, the claim against the sanation procedure should have been brought by the state company CJSC GAK Ukrainian Polymetals, whilst instead was brought by the Carpathian Mining Company, which made the acceptance of such to court proceedings by Oleg Matushchak violation of legislation and illegitimate as the Carpathian Mining Company is not a party to the sanation process.

Furthermore, Oleg Matushchak held the court process for a long time until the pro-Russian group could place Andrey Kravchuk at the helm of CJSC GAK Ukrainian Polymetals to carry out the illegitimate court process.

Hennadiy Moskal:

Chairman of the Transcarpathian Regional State Administration from 2015 to 2019.

Hennadiy Moskal under pressure from Mykola Martynenko signed the illegitimate land rental agreements on behalf of the government of Ukraine with the Carpathian Mining Company during 2018-2020, for land that actually belongs to British investors and the state.

People from the office of the politician Valerii Lunchenko have claimed to have direct knowledge of the involvement and harassment by Mykola Martynenko.

During the period of his duties as the head of the Regional State Administration, together with Mykola Martynenko and others mentioned therein organized assistance in the theft of state property and the legalization of the activities of the Carpathian Mining Company.

See exhibit 162 for the unlawful land agreements of the Carpathian Mining Company and criminal case.

Nikolai Boyarkin:

Partner of Dmitry Kashchuk, acted as Chairman of the State Subsoil Service of Ukraine from 2015 to 2016.

Organized the renewal and illegal re-issuance of special permits for the Carpathian Mining Company.

Currently continues to perform functions of coordinating the fraud scheme and continuing violation of legislation with the State Subsoil Service of Ukraine.

Alexey Petrov:

Chairman of the Transcarpathian Regional State Administration in 2020 and Chairman of the Transcarpathian Regional Council in 2021 as well as deputy of the regional council.

After his appointment as chairman of the Regional State Administration he actively assisted the Carpathian Mining Company and pro-Russian group in illegal activities in the region, initiated the illegal termination of criminal investigations in the National Police and the Regional Prosecutor's Office, and in every possible way contributed to the activities of the Carpathian Mining Company at the regional level. Most likely coordinated their communication with the Office of the President.

Dmitry Kazak:

Head of the Transcarpathian Regional Prosecutor's Office from September 2020 till present.

From the moment of his appointment as regional prosecutor he took measures to suspend any investigations against the Carpathian Mining Company and the pro-Russian group, withdrew all claims of the prosecutor's office to terminate the illegitimate land lease agreements, and took measures to assist the Carpathian Mining Company in illegal activities by influencing the National Police in Zakarpattia areas and beyond.

Ivan Yovenko:

Deputy head of the main department of the National Police in the Transcarpathian region, head of the investigative department. Together with the regional prosecutor, he took measures to suspend any

investigations against the Carpathian Mining Company and the pro-Russian group, took measures to assist the Carpathian Mining Company in illegal activities.

Govda Roman:

Govda Roman works in the Prosecutor General's Office and oversees everything related to pro-Russian interests and is the pro-Russian candidate for the Prosecutor General of Ukraine.

Even though the courts ordered the return of illegally confiscated items to Oleksandr Mashtepa (Exhibit 2), the prosecutorial group (Sergey Novikov, Roman Krupka, Dmitry Egorov) led by Govda Roman refused to do so, and under the leadership of Andriy Yermak and Mykola Martynenko organised a secondary court case where the investigative judge Novak R.V. illegitimately re-reviewed the same case 1201310060002990 originated by the State Bureau of Investigation.

Sergey Novikov:

Prosecutor of the Office of the Prosecutor General.

In illegal joint cooperation with Roman Krupko and other officials, he is part of the same group of officials that are leading the political prosecution of Oleksandr Tupytskyi, chairman of the constitutional court of Ukraine, the former president Petro Poroshenko, and Oleksandr Mashtepa the president of British Ukraine, all done under the instructions and financing of Mykola Martynenko and by extension of Oleg Tatarov and Andriy Portnov – something that cannot be a coincidence that on all these cases the prosecutor and detective investigator matched whereas the former is supposed to be independent and verify the work of the latter.

Roman Krupka:

Roman Krupka work at the State Bureau of Investigation as the Deputy Head of the First Department of the Crime Investigation Department. Roman Krupka instructed his subordinate Dmitry Egorov to carry the illegal criminal case 1201310060002990 and bribe the investigating judge Novak R.V.

Exhibit 119 shows a subpoena signed by Dmitry Egorov to Oleksandr Mashtepa to attend hearing of the fake case manufactured by the pro-Russian group to harass Oleksandr Mashtepa and judge Oleksandr Tupytskyi.

Supporting evidence:

The following documents, links, photos and videos (exhibits) are enclosed with this report (download by clicking link) and we have indicated the minimum number of pages or items in each exhibit.

Dates are either the date of issue of an item or date of enclosure with this report of an item.

Where contact details of individuals are present which is not part of public or criminal disclosure and related government transparency, such individuals have consented to their details being so enclosed.

1 Sep 26, 2018	Download (24)	Prosecutor General's indictment of Valery Bredikhin and Alexey Gromchakov
2 Jan 12, 2022	Download (3)	Kiev Court Decision search warrant Oleksandr Mashtepa unlawful and return of property
3 2019	Download (1)	Fake photo Avellana Gold
4 2019	Download (1)	Fake photo Avellana Gold
5 Sep 26, 2019	Download (13)	Zakarpattia Oblast Prosecutor General's withdrawal of licenses granted to CMC
6 May 14, 2021	Download (13)	Sentencing of Valery Bredikhin and Alexey Gromchakov https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/96991230
7 Jul 4, 2019	Download (1)	Letter of Security Service of Ukraine to Gofer Mining plc taking note of situation
8 Jan 20, 2020	Download (4)	Supreme Court Decision case 16/89 to reject appeal of Gofer Mining plc
9 Feb 27, 2020	Download (7)	Supreme Court Decision 2 case 16/89 to reject appeal of Gofer Mining plc
10 May 20, 2019	Download (1)	Video of TV program gold case and interview of Mikhail Zhelyazo
11 Jan 23, 2020	Download (10)	Zakarpattia Oblast National Police petition for seizure of property stolen by pro-Russian group
12 Jun 19, 2019	Download (4)	Kiev Court Decision satisfy Gofer Mining plc petition concerning libel by pro-Russian group
13 Jun 14, 2019	Download (9)	Zakarpattia Oblast Court Decision accept sanation request by Gofer Mining plc
14 2019	Download (1)	Copy of illegally acquired Hungarian passport Fitsay
15 Feb 11, 2022	Download (19)	Briefing on Russian attempts to appropriate British gold
16 Jan 31, 2022	Download (1)	Copy of a text message sent by Mykola Martynenko to Andriy Portnov and Oleg Tatarov
17 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 1 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
18 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 2 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
19 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 3 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
20 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 4 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
21 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 5 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
22 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 6 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
23 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 7 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
24 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 8 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
25 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 9 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
26 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 10 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
27 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 11 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
28 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 12 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
29 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 13 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
30 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 14 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
31 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 15 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik

32 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 16 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
33 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 17 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
34 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 18 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
35 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 19 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
36 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 20 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
37 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 21 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
38 Feb 8, 2022	Download (50)	Batch 22 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
39 Feb 8, 2022	Download (28)	Batch 23 files on criminal case number 12012070060000083 & related officially held by Petik
40 Jan 21, 2022	Download (150)	Batch 1 files on criminal case number 42016000000003656
41 Jan 21, 2022	Download (180)	Batch 2 files on criminal case number 42016000000003656
42 Apr 16, 2021	Download (2)	Hospital document heart issues Judge Oleksandr Tupytskyi
43 Jul 19, 2019	Download (1)	Letter to British investors from Zakarpattia Oblast Administration about hearing
44 Jul 19, 2019	Download (1)	Letter to British investors from Zakarpattia Oblast Administration about appeal
45 Apr 30, 2021	Download (1)	Illegitimate order of Serhiy Holovaty to harass Oleksandr Tupytskyi
46 May 6, 2021	Download (1)	Amendment to order in exhibit 45
47 Feb 18, 2022	Download (8)	Description of Events in the Bankruptcy Case No. 16/89
48 Jan 27, 2022	Download (2)	Letter of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine in support of Oleksandr Tupytskyi
49 Feb 18, 2022	Download (6)	Description of events and court proceedings concerning theft of assets and property
50 Jan 28, 2022	Download (10)	Letter to President of Ukraine Zelensky in reference to the gold case
51 Feb 23, 2022	Download (2)	Final briefing on the constitutional crisis of Ukraine
52 Jan 28, 2022	Download (180)	Batch 1 files on criminal case number 12014070000000103
53 Jan 28, 2022	Download (180)	Batch 2 files on criminal case number 12014070000000103
54 Jan 28, 2022	Download (180)	Batch 3 files on criminal case number 12014070000000103
55 Jan 28, 2022	Download (180)	Batch 4 files on criminal case number 12014070000000103
56 Jan 28, 2022	Download (161)	Batch 5 files on criminal case number 12014070000000103
57 Jan 28, 2022	Download (250)	Batch 6 files on criminal case number 12014070000000103
58 Jan 28, 2022	Download (382)	Batch 7 files on criminal case number 12014070000000103
59 Mar 29, 2014	Download (30)	Letter sent by British investors to initiate the criminal case 12014070000000103
60 2014	Download (1)	Video of TV program on gold case journalist investigation http://hromadske.tv/episode/260
61 Aug 2, 2019	Download (1)	Interview of Andrii Smolin with fake claims to the media
62 Jun 9, 2008	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/1735642
63 Jul 8, 2008	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/1804063
64 Aug 19, 2008	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/1953471
65 Nov 3, 2008	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/2286615
66 Dec 16, 2008	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/2844803
67 Mar 18, 2009	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/3438349
68 Jun 11, 2009	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/4020442
69 Sep 22, 2009	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/6295034

70 Feb 16, 2010	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/8075527
71 Aug 5, 2010	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/11115962
72 Oct 19, 2010	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/11859798
73 Feb 16, 2011	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/13798871
74 Sep 19, 2011	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/18285476
75 Feb 17, 2012	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/21605970
76 Mar 20, 2012	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/22216926
77 May 16, 2012	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/24256436
78 Jun 25, 2012	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/24930787
79 Sep 11, 2012	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/26045353
80 Dec 18, 2012	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/29060310
81 Oct 30, 2012	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/27481317
82 Feb 4, 2013	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/29108734
83 May 21, 2013	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/31368777
84 Mar 20, 2013	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/30275437
85 Jun 12, 2013	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/31872772
86 Jul 30, 2013	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/32730006
87 Feb 4, 2014	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/37146297
88 Feb 4, 2014	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/37146369
89 Jul 4, 2014	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/39750696
90 Dec 24, 2014	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/47644274
91 Sep 16, 2014	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/51540278
92 Nov 11, 2014	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/53408889
93 Jun 9, 2015	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/45108149
94 Jul 16, 2015	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/47173739
95 Nov 11, 2015	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/53408808
96 Dec 18, 2015	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/54538923
97 Feb 3, 2016	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/55697315
98 Jun 1, 2016	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/58155981
99 Mar 3, 2017	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/65230048
100 May 24, 2017	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/66831188
101 Apr 12, 2018	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/73631130
102 Mar 3, 2017	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/65251669
103 May 24, 2017	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/66831096
104 Apr 12, 2018	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/73631190
105 Oct 9, 2018	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/77111479
106 Jan 9, 2019	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/79159300
107 Apr 16, 2019	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/81435039
108 Apr 16, 2019	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/81335617

109 Jul 1, 2019	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/82798907
110 Jun 14, 2019	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/82400581
111 Sep 20, 2019	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/84383246
112 Oct 24, 2019	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/85204774
113 Jan 9, 2020	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/86990493
114 Feb 27, 2020	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/88277213
115 Jul 23, 2020	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/90616993
116 Nov 19, 2020	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/93191134
117 May 14, 2021	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/96991230
118 Jan 6, 2022	Download (1)	Acceptance letter of Oleksandr Tupytskyi application to ECHR
119 Jan 28, 2022	Download (1)	State Bureau of Investigation notice to Oleksandr Mashtepa to attend hearing
120 Feb 10, 2020	Download (1)	Video of the Supreme Court of Ukraine hearing reference the sanation procedure
121 Aug, 2019	Download (1)	Video of Brian Savage making false and libelous statements to the media
122 Feb 1, 2022	Download (3)	Witness statement Dmytro Zaitsev
123 Apr 28, 2021	Download (39)	Detailed description of the gold case and theft of state property
124 Jan 30, 2022	Download (10)	Carpathian Mining Company fraud scheme diagrams
125 Sep 17, 2013	Download (1)	http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/33544806
126 Nov 5, 2013	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/34612307
127 Nov 6, 2014	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/41391677
128 Feb 16, 2015	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/42765383
129 Apr 16, 2015	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/43742120
130 Aug 18, 2015	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/49047469
131 Dec 17, 2015	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/54506964
132 Feb 16, 2016	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/55866103
133 Feb 16, 2016	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/65912747
134 Jan 14, 2014	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/36706897
135 Dec 10, 2014	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/41923088
136 Mar 29, 2016	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/57001801
137 Jul 6, 2016	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/58949736
138 Dec 8, 2016	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/63319233
139 Dec 16, 2016	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/63446517
140 Nov 3, 2014	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/53984530
141 Feb 1, 2018	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/73305626
142 Feb 27, 2020	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/88016415
143 Jun 23, 2020	Download (1)	https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/91212834
144 Jun 16, 2021	Download (4)	Court decision accept appeal of Ministry Economy reference Alexander Selekhman
145 Dec 12, 2021	Download (1)	Postpone case Alexander Selekhman
146 Jun 4, 2020	Download (1)	Postpone case Alexander Selekhman
147 Jul 29, 2020	Download (1)	Postpone case Alexander Selekhman

148	Aug 21, 2020	Download (1)	Postpone case Alexander Selekman
149	Feb 22, 2022	Download (5)	List of witnesses and contact details
150	Dec 22, 2021	Download (7)	Dmitry Kashchuk organised criminal group description
151	Apr 19, 2021	Download (13)	Letter with photos on Dmitry Kashchuk organised criminal group
152	Feb 20, 2020	Download (3)	Correspondence with the US embassy in Kiev
153	Mar 5, 2014	Download (17)	Report state geological agency on violations of Carpathian Mining Company
154	2019	Download (9)	Evidence of Carpathian Mining Company illegally built factory
155	Feb 22, 2022	Download (64)	Batch 1 of list and evidence of items stolen by pro-Russian group
156	Feb 22, 2022	Download (46)	Batch 2 of list and evidence of items stolen by pro-Russian group
157	Feb 22, 2022	Download (130)	Batch 3 of list and evidence of items stolen by pro-Russian group
158	Feb 22, 2022	Download (215)	Batch 4 of list and evidence of items stolen by pro-Russian group
159	Feb 22, 2022	Download (9)	Batch 5 of list and evidence of items stolen by pro-Russian group
160	Nov 16, 2021	Download (6)	Description of the appointment of Gorokhovskiy and Gromchakov
161	Oct 17, 2019	Download (6)	Report of state geological survey on processed tailings in 2019
162	2019	Download (52)	Unlawful land agreements of the Carpathian Mining Company and criminal case
163	Oct 7, 2019	Download (6)	State building inspection of the illegal factory of pro-Russian group
164	Feb 22, 2022	Download (9)	Batch 1 of evidence of crimes of the Carpathian Mining Company
165	Feb 22, 2022	Download (8)	Batch 2 of evidence of crimes of the Carpathian Mining Company
166	Feb 22, 2022	Download (12)	Batch 3 of evidence of crimes of the Carpathian Mining Company
167	Feb 22, 2022	Download (6)	Batch 4 of evidence of crimes of the Carpathian Mining Company
168	Feb 22, 2022	Download (36)	Batch 5 of evidence of crimes of the Carpathian Mining Company

Recommendations:

We would like to invite every relevant law enforcement agency and authority to aid in assisting the Ukrainian authorities to form a five-party commission supervised by partners in the west, whereas such a commission would be composed of the following authorities of the Ukrainian government plus supervisors:

- 1) National Anti-Corruption Bureau of Ukraine
Involved to investigate the economic crimes side of the pro-Russian group.
- 2) State Bureau of Investigation
Involved to investigate the repercussions of nominating as public official side pro-Russian individuals.
- 3) Security Service of Ukraine
Involved to investigate the terrorism related activities connected to Russia, including the Savage/Bredikhin deal that took place in the separatist and Russian occupied territories of Ukraine
- 4) Ministry of Internal Affairs
Involved as the owner of the investigations and prosecution proceedings.
- 5) Prosecutor General's Office of Ukraine
Involved as the main authority heading any investigations.

This commission would receive the ample evidence available and investigate the matter that is being stalled by the pro-Russian group aligned with people like Viktor Yanukovich and Vitaliy Zakharchenko, to ensure all terrorist, separatist, fraudulent and unlawful activity is stopped and dealt to the full extent of the law.

We would recommend removing from office the judges related to Andriy Portnov, all of whom have violated the law and abused their position of trust and authority.

Furthermore, we would recommend the immediate suspension from duties of Andriy Yermak and Oleg Tatarov for violations of human rights, as well as levelling of sanctions against all the individuals listed herein.

It would also be prudent for any relevant national and international law enforcement to create corruption investigation case(s) in reference to individuals and strategic resources alike to take this further.

Finally, we strongly recommend opening abuse of office cases for all individuals listed.

END OF DOCUMENT